Advanced Macroeconomics Instructed by Xu & Yi

Midterm Exam II (Open-Book)

Undergraduate Program in Economics, HUST Friday, May/18/2018

Name:	Student ID:	

- 1. $(20' \times 5 = 100 \text{ points})$ All the following questions are based on Section 3.5 of your textbook.
 - (a) Consider Equation (3.30) in your textbook:

$$\mathcal{L} = \int_{i=0}^{A} p(i)L(i) \, di - \lambda \left\{ \left[\int_{i=0}^{A} L(i)^{\phi} \, di \right]^{1/\phi} - 1 \right\}.$$
 (3.30)

It is said that the *Lagrangian* above depicts the problem of producing **one unit** of output at minimum cost. But a representative output producer could naturally produce more than one unit of output. So why should we focus on solving the problem of (3.30)?

- (b) Recall that Equation (3.32) is a necessary condition for solving the Lagrangian of (3.30). It is said that λ in (3.32) could be regarded as an overall price level. Find the explicit expression for it. (Hint: \spadesuit Use the fact that the representative producer is producing one unit of output. \clubsuit Your answer should be in the form of $\lambda = [\int_{i=0}^A f(p(i)) di]^{g(\phi)}$, where $f(\cdot)$ and $g(\cdot)$ are smooth functions for $\phi \in (0,1)$.)
- (c) Denote by \bar{p} the λ you found above, then Equation (3.32) could be rewritten

as:

$$L(i) = \left\lceil \frac{p(i)}{\bar{p}} \right\rceil^{\frac{1}{\phi - 1}}, \quad \text{where} \quad \phi \in (0, 1). \tag{3.32'}$$

it simply means that the i-th input's demand is a decreasing function of its relative price. Now suppose you are the i-th idea's owner, and you know that the demand curve for your product is depicted as in (3.32') above, your marginal cost is fixed at c. As a monopolist, how would you set the price for your product? How will your decision change the overall price level?

- (d) There is a typo (印刷错误) in Equation (3.41) of your textbook. Point it out.
- (e) Suppose that, instead of imposing a logarithmic instantaneous utility function, individuals are having a generic CRRA one. That is to say, Equation (3.35) of your textbook becomes:

$$U = \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} \frac{C(t)^{1-\theta}}{1-\theta} dt, \quad \rho > 0, \quad \theta > 0.$$
 (3.35')

Given this change, what is the revised version of Equation (3.44)? How does θ affect equilibrium L_A ? Explain your answer with economic intuitions.

(a) 由于广商的生产函数是规模报酬不变的,且成本函数是关于要素投入的结性函数,故可将生产加个单位产出的成本最十化的 题标作化为生产1个单位产出的成本最十化问题。

 $\lambda' = \int_{i=0}^{\infty} \rho(i) L(i) di - M \left[\int_{i=0}^{\infty} L(i)^{i} di \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} - m \right]$ $\frac{\partial \lambda'}{\partial L(i)} = \rho(i) - M \frac{1}{p} \left[\int_{i=0}^{\infty} L(i)^{i} di \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \phi L(i)^{\frac{1}{p}} = 0$ 将 $\left[\int_{i=0}^{\infty} L(i)^{i} di \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} = m 代入,可得$

$$\rho(i) = \mathcal{M}(m)^{1-\beta} l(i)^{\beta - 1}$$

$$l(i) = \left(\frac{\mathcal{M}m^{1-\beta}}{\rho(i)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} \mathcal{B}$$

= (n(i)) in m 与生产1个单位产品的成本最小化闪起的一阶条件,是结性关系且要求仍各弹性

所多出的密本函数不变。

(b) $\left[\int_{i=0}^{A} L(i)^{\phi} di\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} = 1$

特 $L(i) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\rho(i)}\right)$ 方代入,习得 $\left[\int_{i=0}^{4} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\rho(i)}\right)^{\frac{\ell}{p-1}} di\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} = 1$

$$\lambda \stackrel{!}{\leftarrow} \left[\int_{i=0}^{A} \rho(i) \stackrel{!}{\leftarrow} di \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} = 1$$

$$\lambda = \left[\int_{i=0}^{A} \rho(i) \stackrel{!}{\leftarrow} di \right]^{-\frac{1-p}{p}}$$

(c) 首生证明垄断定价原则 P=1至 MC 全,需求价格弹性

 $TR = p(q) \cdot q$ $MR = p(q) + q \cdot \frac{dp(q)}{dq} = p(1 + \frac{q}{p} \frac{dp}{dq}) = p(1 + \frac{1}{2}) = p(1 - \frac{1}{|\Sigma|}) = p \cdot \frac{|\Sigma| \cdot 1}{|\Sigma|}$

由 MR=MC 可得 P= 151 MC

本题中,以= $\frac{1}{1-p}$,MC=C,则p(i)= $\frac{c}{p}$

 $\bar{\rho} = \lambda = \left[\int_{i=0}^{A} (\hat{r})^{\frac{-i\phi}{p}} di\right]^{\frac{-i\phi}{p}} = \Lambda^{\frac{4i}{p}} \int_{\hat{r}}^{C} + \int_{\hat{r}}^{C} + \int_{\hat{r}}^{A} + \int_$

②从经济至党者,有无穷多个厂高,每个厂高价格设定对总体价格的影响可忽略不计

(d) 不(t) 应为 T(t), 指的是判的的25 段值,形式为(3.37)左边

(e)
$$\oplus f$$
 CRRA $\ominus f$ $\stackrel{?}{\not{=}}$ $\stackrel{?}{\not{=$

物对风险规则的越大, La越来, 从事研发的人数越少。

相对风险规避系数 8, 在没有不确定饱的模型中,不再理解为对风险的厌恶程度,而理解为对践期消费的厌恶程度。8 轻太时,个体对更高的消费混动 (消费增长率)更为厌恶,因此均衡下的 6, 越小 (因为 64 的值事实上决定 3 A, Y, C 的增长率)。