

# Supplementary Notes on Chapter 2 of D. Romer's Advanced Macroeconomics Textbook (4th Edition)

Changsheng Xu & Ming Yi

School of Economics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology

This version: Feb 2023



# Calculus of variations (变分法)

- A field of mathematical analysis that deals with maximizing or minimizing **functionals**, which are mappings from a set of functions to the real numbers.
- Functionals are often expressed as definite integrals involving functions and their derivatives. (e.g., the famous shortest (in time) path problem)
- The **Euler-Lagrange equation** provides a **necessary condition** for finding extrema.

## Euler-Lagrange equation

Intuition: Finding the extrema of functionals is similar to finding the maxima and minima of functions. This tool provides a link between them to solve the problem. Consider the functional

$$\mathcal{J}[y] = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} L(x, y(x), y'(x)) dx, \quad (1)$$

where

- $x_1, x_2$  are constants.
- $y(x)$  is twice continuously differentiable.
- $y'(x) = \frac{dy}{dx}$ .
- $L(x, y(x), y'(x))$  is twice continuously differentiable with respect to all arguments  $x, y$ , and  $y'$ .

## Euler-Lagrange equation (Continued)

If  $J[y]$  attains a local minimum at  $f$ , and  $\eta(x)$  is an arbitrary function that has at least one derivative and vanishes at the endpoints  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , then for any number  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we must have

$$J[f] \leq J[f + \varepsilon\eta]. \quad (2)$$

Term  $\varepsilon\eta$  is called the **variation** of the function  $f$ . Now define

$$\Phi(\varepsilon) = J[f + \varepsilon\eta]. \quad (3)$$

Since  $J[y]$  has a local minimum at  $y = f$ , it must be the case that  $\Phi(\varepsilon)$  has a minimum at  $\varepsilon = 0$  and thus

$$\Phi'(0) = \left. \frac{d\Phi}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \left. \frac{dL}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} dx = 0. \quad (4)$$

## Euler-Lagrange equation (Continued)

Now taking total derivative of  $L[x, f + \varepsilon\eta, (f + \varepsilon\eta)']$ , we have:

$$\frac{dL}{d\varepsilon} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\eta + \frac{\partial L}{\partial y'}\eta'. \quad (5)$$

Inserting (5) into (4) gives us

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \frac{dL}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} dx = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial f}\eta + \frac{\partial L}{\partial f'}\eta' \right) dx \\ &= \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial f}\eta - \eta \frac{d(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f'})}{dx} \right) dx + \frac{\partial L}{\partial f'}\eta \Big|_{x_1}^{x_2} \\ &= \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \eta \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial f} - \frac{d(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f'})}{dx} \right) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where the last lines uses integration by parts and the fact that  $\eta$  vanishes at  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ .

## Euler-Lagrange equation (Continued)

Now given

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \eta \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial f} - \frac{d(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f'})}{dx} \right) dx = 0, \quad (6)$$

the **fundamental lemma of calculus of variations** makes sure that

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial f} - \frac{d(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f'})}{dx} = 0 \quad (7)$$

must hold!

## Euler-Lagrange equation (Continued)

Now given

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \eta \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial f} - \frac{d(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f'})}{dx} \right) dx = 0, \quad (6)$$

the **fundamental lemma of calculus of variations** makes sure that

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial f} - \frac{d(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f'})}{dx} = 0 \quad (7)$$

must hold!

- However, it is possible to attain (7) based on (6) without applying the lemma!

## Euler-Lagrange equation (Continued)

Now given

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \eta \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial f} - \frac{d(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f'})}{dx} \right) dx = 0, \quad (6)$$

the **fundamental lemma of calculus of variations** makes sure that

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial f} - \frac{d(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f'})}{dx} = 0 \quad (7)$$

must hold!

- However, it is possible to attain (7) based on (6) without applying the lemma!
- A special form of  $\eta$ ?

## Euler-Lagrange equation (Continued)

Now given

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \eta \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial f} - \frac{d(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f'})}{dx} \right) dx = 0, \quad (6)$$

the **fundamental lemma of calculus of variations** makes sure that

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial f} - \frac{d(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f'})}{dx} = 0 \quad (7)$$

must hold!

- However, it is possible to attain (7) based on (6) without applying the lemma!
- A special form of  $\eta$ ?
- How about  $\eta(x)$  equals  $-(x - x_1)(x - x_2) \left[ \frac{\partial L}{\partial f} - \frac{d(\frac{\partial L}{\partial f'})}{dx} \right]$  for  $x \in [x_1, x_2]$  and 0 for  $x \notin [x_1, x_2]$ ?

# Euler-Lagrange equation (Continued)

- How does (7) degenerate if  $y'$  is not an argument of  $L$ ?
- Homework: based on equation (2.16) in your textbook, try to derive (2.17).

To be continued...